WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNI	TED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Mauricio Padilla-Soto	Case Number: <u>13-01850M-001</u>
and was repres	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 sented by counsel. I conclude by a prepent pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on July 2, 2013. Defendant was present and order the detention and a flight risk and order the detention
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deporte or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and his substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.

The defendant is facing a maximum of ______ years imprisonment.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: July 2, 2013

П

П

JAMES F. METCALF
United States Magistrate Judge